UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

§
§
§
§
§ CIVIL ACTION NO. H-03-04658
§
§
§
§

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

Defendant has filed a "Motion to Alter the Courts' [sic] September 5, 2006 Final Judgment Regarding the Amount [sic] Disgorgement" ("Motion to Alter") (Doc. No. 279). All of the arguments made in the Motion to Alter were made in Defendant's Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law (Doc. No. 220), Defendant's Renewed Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law (Doc. No. 247), or are in contradiction of other arguments Defendant has made.¹

The Motion is **DENIED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

SIGNED this 16th day of May, 2007.

KEITH P. ELLISON UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

J. P. Ellino

TO INSURE PROPER NOTICE, EACH PARTY WHO RECEIVES THIS ORDER SHALL FORWARD A COPY OF IT TO EVERY OTHER PARTY AND AFFECTED NON-PARTY EVEN THOUGH THEY MAY HAVE BEEN SENT ONE BY THE COURT

¹ For example, Defendant here argues that its expert on damages, Mr. Den Uyl, offered an alternative version of the proper disgorgement amount. Defendant previously argued that there was no basis for any disgorgement. Defendant's Memorandum in Opposition to the Remedies Sought by the SEC (Do. No. 272) at 38-39.